Reducing the Inadvertent Spread of Retracted Science:

Shaping a Research and Implementation Agenda



Monday Oct 26th

DAY 1 Listening and Learning

Welcome and Introductions

6:00-6:20 AM (PT) | 7:00-7:20 AM (MT) | 8:00-8:20 AM (CT) | 9:00-9:20 AM (ET) | 1:00-1:20 PM (UK) | 2:00-2:20 PM (CEST)

Stakeholder Experience Fishbowl Storytelling (20 min per group)



Structure: 5 min round robin, 10 min discussion, 5 min Q&A from audience Based on your experience or expertise, what do you want others to know about retractions? What problems are associated with retraction in your community? Can these problems be solved? How?

GROUP 1 - NORMS, STANDARDS, AND INCENTIVES

6:20-6:40 AM (PT) | 7:20-7:40 AM (MT) | 8:20-8:40 AM (CT) | 9:20-9:40 AM (ET) | 1:20-1:40 PM (UK) | 2:20-2:40 PM (CEST)

People working in standards organizations, funding agencies, or journalism, or in investigating reform in standards and incentives.

- Monya Baker, Senior Editor, Comment Desk, Nature magazine
- Daniele Fanelli, Fellow in Quantitative Methodology, Department of Methodology, London School of Economics and Political Science
- Ashley Farley, Program Officer, Knowledge & Research Services, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- Josh Greenberg, Program Director, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation
- Alice Meadows, Director of Community Engagement, NISO

Retracted Science

- Ivan Oransky, Co-Founder of Retraction Watch & Vice President, Editorial at Medscape, Distinguished Writer In Residence at New York University's Carter Journalism Institute, and president of the Association of Health Care Journalists
- Deborah Poff, Chair of the Trustee Board COPE & Editor in Chief Journal of Academic Ethics
- Eefke Smit, Director of Standards and Technology, International Association of STM Publishers

GROUP 2 - PUBLISHING INDUSTRY

6:45-7:05 AM (PT) | 7:45-8:05 AM (MT) | 8:45-9:05 AM (CT) | 9:45-10:05 AM (ET) | 1:45-2:05 PM (UK) | 2:45-3:05 PM (CEST)

People in the publishing industry, e.g. editors, publishers, peer review, managers, quality assurance staff.

- IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, Chair of the Standards and Technology Executive Committee of STM; SVP Research Integrity, Elsevier
- Michele Avissar-Whiting, Editor in Chief for Research Square (tentative)
- Annette Flanagin, Executive Managing Editor and Vice President, Editorial Operations, JAMA and The JAMA Network, and Executive Editor, JAMAevidence
- Joerg Heber, Editorial Director, PLOS and Editor-in-Chief PLOS ONE (tentative)
- Dan Kulp, Director, Editorial Development for the journals of the American Chemical Society
- Michael Markie, Publishing Director, Life Sciences, F1000
- Katrina Pickersgill, Executive Peer Review Manager, SAGE Publications, Ltd.
- Sarah Robbie, Head of Research Integrity & Ethics, Taylor and Francis
- Randy Townsend, Director, Journal Operations at American Geophysical Union

GROUP 3 - BRIDGING TECHNOLOGY

7:10-7:30 AM (PT) | 8:10-8:30 AM (MT) | 9:10-9:30 AM (CT) | 10:10-10:30 AM (ET) | 2:10-2:30 PM (UK) | 3:10-3:30 PM (CEST)

People whose work supports interlinking and interconnection of scholarly publishing items, e.g. citation verification software, reference management systems, and bibliographic databases (indexing and abstracting, citations) and underlying technologies such as identifiers and metadata.

- Geoff Bilder, Director of Technology and Research, Crossref
- Helena Cousijn, Community Engagement Director, DataCite
- Nicholas De Vito, Doctoral Researcher, EBM DataLab, University of Oxford
- Patricia Feeney, Head of Metadata, Crossref
- Hannah Heckner, Product Strategist, Silverchair
- James Leung, Head of Product Management, Kopernio, Clarivate
- Josh Nicholson, Co-founder and CEO scite
- Laura Paglione, Project Lead, Metadata2020
- John Seguin, President and Chief Librarian, Third Iron LLC
- Sean Takats, Director of Zotero & Professor/Chief Scientist University of Luxembourg

BREAK - 15 MINUTES

7:30-7:45 AM (PT) | 8:30-8:45 AM (MT) | 9:30-9:45 AM (CT) | 10:30-10:45 AM (ET) | 2:30-2:45 PM (UK) | 3:30-3:45 PM (CEST)

GROUP 4 - RESEARCH INTEGRITY

7:45-8:05 AM (PT) | 8:45-9:05 AM (MT) | 9:45-10:05 AM (CT) | 10:45-11:05 AM (ET) | 2:45-3:05 PM (UK) | 3:45-4:05 PM (CEST)

People in a research integrity office in government or a university, supporting research integrity efforts/investigations in publishing.

- Elisabeth Bik, Science Integrity consultant & Microbiome
- Stephanie Boughton, Cochrane Research Integrity Editor
- Stephen Gonsalves, US Office of Research Integrity, Division of Education and Integrity
- Francesca Grifo, Scientific Integrity Official Environmental Protection Agency
- CK Gunsalus, Director, National Center for Professional & Research Ethics, Professor Emerita of Business, and Research Professor at the Coordinated Sciences Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- Christopher Lehmann, Research Integrity Officer, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
- Aaron Manka, Director, Research Integrity and Administrative Investigations, National Science Foundation, Office of Inspector General

GROUP 5 - RESEARCHERS & LIBRARIANS

8:10-8:30 AM (PT) | 9:10-9:30 AM (MT) | 10:10-10:30 AM (CT) | 11:10-11:30 AM (ET) | 3:10-3:30 PM (UK) | 4:10-4:30 PM (CEST)

People producing and/or facilitating access to original research.

- Elsa Alvaro, Director of Academic Engagement, Librarian for Chemistry, and Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University Libraries
- Caitlin Bakker, Medical School Librarian and Research Services Coordinator, Health Sciences Library University of Minnesota
- Jennifer Deal, Librarian Lead and Institutional Repository Manager, Advocate Aurora Library
- Karen Hanus, Director, Advocate Aurora Library, St. Luke's Medical Center
- Tom Heyman, Assistant Professor, Methodology & Statistics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Leiden University
- Kathryn Kaiser, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Health Behavior, University of Alabama Birmingham
- Kathrin McConnell, Director, FDA Library, Office of Information Management and Technology
- Pamela Ronald, Distinguished Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis (tentative)
- Barbara Ruggeri, Life & Health Sciences Librarian, Carroll University
- Elizabeth Suelzer, User Education and Reference Librarian Medical College of Wisconsin
- Nicole Theis-Mahon, Liaison Librarian & Health Sciences Collection Coordinator, Health Sciences Library University of Minnesota

BREAK - 15 MINUTES

8:30-8:45 AM (PT) | 9:30-9:45 AM (MT) | 10:30-10:45 AM (CT) | 11:30-11:45 AM (ET) | 3:30-3:45 PM (UK) | 4:30-4:45 PM (CEST)

Conversation Café - Thematic Discussions in breakout rooms - 45 min + 5 min notetaking

8:50 AM-9:45 (PT) | 9:50-10:45 AM (MT) | 10:50-11:45 AM (CT) | 11:50 AM-12:45 PM (ET) | 3:50-4:45 PM (UK) | 4:50-5:45 PM (CEST)



Group discussion in 4 rounds:

- First round: Each person shares thoughts about the topic. 5 min
- Second round: Each person shares thoughts after having listened to everybody in the room. 5 min
- Third round: open discussion 25 min
- Fourth round: each member shares takeaways, ideas, and thoughts for next steps. 10 min.

Group members record takeaways, thoughts, and ideas in shared Google Slides. 5 min.

Participants will self-select a breakout room. See discussion prompts table below.

- Types of retractions
- Informative retraction notices
- Communication of misconduct investigations
- Visibility of retraction status
- Author-initiated retraction
- Rooms 6 10: Participant nominated topics TBD

REPORT OUT FROM THEMATIC DISCUSSIONS / GATHERING EMERGING TOPICS FOR DAY 2 / WRAP-UP

9:45-10 AM (PT) | 10:45-11 AM (MT) | 11:45 AM-noon(CT) | 12:45-1:00 PM (ET) | 4:45-5:00 PM (UK) | 5:45-6:00 PM (CEST)

TABLE: DISCUSSION PROMPTS FOR CONVERSATION CAFE (Please suggest additional topics!)

Room	Prompt
Types of retractions	What would a common taxonomy for retractions include? What are industry
	requirements to make it feasible to apply?
Informative retraction	What are the obstacles to including detailed retraction information in retraction
notices	notices such as who is retracting and what is the reason for the retractions?
Communication of	What do publishers need or expect from institutions conducting misconduct
misconduct	investigations? What are the disincentives for institutions to collaborate with
investigations	publishers on issues of retraction?
Visibility of retraction	What are the obstacles to consistent, clear identification of retraction status on
status	publisher websites?
Author-initiated	What options are there for author-initiated retraction? What challenges are there to
retraction	author-initiated retractions?

Room 6	(please suggest a topic here)
Room 7	(please suggest a topic here)
Room 8	(please suggest a topic here)
Room 9	(please suggest a topic here)
Room 10	(please suggest a topic here)