

Reducing the Inadvertent Spread of Retracted Science:

Shaping a Research and Implementation Agenda



Link back to this document: <https://tinyurl.com/nw13d24k>

Shared Folder [RISRS2020 Shared Documents](https://tinyurl.com/risrs2020) <https://tinyurl.com/risrs2020>

Zoom 5.5.2 update here: https://zoom.us/download#client_4meeting

<https://illinois.zoom.us/j/88053498488?pwd=SVdLb3hWemRvVUZpSzUzMytLa08zQT09>

Meeting ID: 880 5349 8488 Passcode: 12345

Tuesday Feb 16th

Follow-Up Meeting

Overall: 8:30-10 am (PT) | 9:30-11 am (MT) | 10:30am-12 pm (CT) | 11:30am-1 pm (ET) | 4:30-6 pm (UK) | 5:30-7 pm (CET)

Welcome & status updates (White paper; Dissemination; Implementation Planning)

8:30-8:45 am (PT) | 9:30-9:45 am (MT) | 10:30am-10:45 am (CT) | 11:30am-11:45 am (ET) | 4:30-4:45 pm (UK) | 5:30-5:45 pm (CET)

Link to [draft white paper v1](#)

White Paper Discussion (Plenary Discussion)

8:45-9:15 am (PT) | 9:45-10:15 am (MT) | 10:45am-11:15 am (CT) | 11:45am-12:15 pm (ET) | 4:45-5:15 pm (UK) | 5:45-6:15 pm (CET)

Are the top-level recommendations sufficiently detailed and useful?

1. Make retraction information easy to find and use.
2. Recommend retraction metadata and a taxonomy of retraction statuses that can be adopted by all stakeholders.
3. Develop best practices for coordinating the retraction process.
4. Educate & socialize researchers and the public about retraction and post-publication stewardship of the scientific record.
5. Develop standards, software, and databases to support sustainable data quality.

Particularly:

- Does the report resonate with issues in your community?
- What audience(s) did we miss?
- Any feedback on the scope? Are these top-level recommendations and the framing of the problem of retraction broad enough? Too broad?
- What other materials would be useful for successful dissemination of the recommendations?

Barriers to Implementation & Incentives (Breakout group discussion)

9:15-9:45 am (PT) | 10:15-10:45 am (MT) | 11:15am-11:45 pm (CT) | 12:15pm-12:45 pm (ET) | 5:15-5:45 pm (UK) | 6:15-6:45 pm (CET)

Room 1: Make retraction information easy to find and use.

Room 2: Develop standards, software, and databases to support sustainable data quality.

How do we move towards implementation?

Who else needs to be involved in the conversation?

Any positive examples of intentional change?

Debrief (Plenary)

9:45 am-10 am (PT) | 10:45 am-11 am (MT) | 11:45 pm-12 noon (CT) | 12:45 pm - 1pm (ET) | 5:45 pm - 6pm (UK) | 6:45 pm - 7pm (CET)

Adjourn

10 am (PT) | 11 am (MT) | 12 pm (CT) | 1 pm (ET) | 6 pm (UK) | 7 pm (CET)